

Subcommittee on Water Chair: Chris Cantrell (AZ) Vice-Chair: Jon Sjöberg (NV)

> March 5<sup>th</sup>, 2019 8:00 am to 10:00 am Governor's Square 10

# **Proposed Agenda:**

8:00 AM Call to Order and Introductions Review Agenda/Approval of Minutes from September 2018 Meeting - Approved

Chris Cantrell, AZ

8:15 AM Announce New Chairman and Transition

Chris Cantrell, AZ/Jason

Olive, AR

8:20 AM Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act Survey Chris Cantrell, AZ

- Google document available on survey (https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/V72G6HN)
- Passed in 1934 1946 1958
- Impounded, diverted, channel deepened or modified by Federal agency or permitted
- Major water developments
- Federal 404 Permit Deny or condition recommendations
- Case law if compliance with NEPA then done with FWCA
- Survey
- Adequate consultation to state trust species
- Are recommendations given equal consideration
- O Aware 17 of 19 states
- NEPA and FWCA 15 of 19 states
- Federal licensing or construction 18 of 19 states
- O NEPA EA or EIS Process 18 of 19 states
- Types
- FERC 12 states
- AOE 7 states
- Operation of Project 4 states
- BUCREC 3
- Reoperation of project 3

- Interaction
- Report -30%
- EA 30%
- EIS 30 %
- Comment only on EA 60%
- Quality of interaction
- Very Good FERC
- Report 82% no report
- Transfer of funding 95% no
- State input given equal consideration 66% Yes
- Amended needed on responsibility 71% Yes
- $\circ$  More info -85% Yes
- Runs the gamut across states
- Equal consideration is sues with verification, being subjective, flood control exempted, ACOE recreational fish are only economic not under Act, and USBR modifications exempted
- Reauthorize with following
- Equal consideration language improvement
- Require substantiating report Would be a supporting report
- Examples of such reports to show good coordination and case studies
- Opportunities with infrastructure and maybe reauthorization
- Issues
- USCOE is expressing authority over state fish and wildlife resources in S. MS River Basin
- Treating states as another stakeholder and not a sovereign government
- Recent lands bill passage Amended FWCA on AIS on federal lands but other additions difficult
- Need case histories and specific examples
- AZ Colorado River
- Initial invasive definition included state, tribal and local governments Was not included in final bill
- Need an invasive definition
- Recreation fish and wildlife values USCOE Non-native fish are not wildlife and invasive species. Economic value only not to be included in FWCA.
- Temporary restraining order Fish loss vs. NEPA cost a deciding factor
- No standard for coordination
- O Some good examples BPA is the gold star
- Prior to 1995 Good ones in AZ Glen Canyon
- Process has declined over time was observed
- Unclear why 31 states did not respond and some are likely not aware of FWCA
- Lack of response could be issues with making survey a priority
- Staff turnover is a clear issue with young staff untrained in area
- Many responses were FERC related and there are supporting acts
- Slow processes

- Reviews more fragmented
- Likely need training in FWCA Key tool in its infancy
- AFS could sponsor training at Annual Meeting
- NCTC standing class
- Webinar that is stored
- Succession planning need pointed out
- Utah Benefits to population growth outweigh fish and wildlife benefits
- Looking to get support for purchasing water
- Legislation to show electric bills having fish and wildlife mitigation in their bills to move development interests forward at fish and wildlife expense Did not pass
- Report in progress (Dave Weedman, AZ) and could be provided to FWRPC and Federal agencies
- Opportunities
- Report to help FWCA language
- Good consultation examples and standards
- Effective practices education materials
- Education
- Need to have similar training for FERC
- Who to call on FWCA and FERC is sues
- Would like additional states to provide input for final September report.
- Report out this week on states that have already participated, and detailed analysis in September

# **8:50 AM** Importance of State Authority under CWA Section 401 Ward Scott, WGA

- WGA Policy resolutions and collective action
- Water management in the West
- Water Quality in the West
- Building a stronger state-fed relationship
- New webpage on issue on WGA
- Public comments do not equal consultation
- Partners not a stakeholder Comments into the abyss of the federal black hole
- Federalism concerns are being ignored
- Final state authority, major water quality, intent of Congress
- Hiring abuses from administration
- From two projects upheld in courts
- Widely used
- FERC, natural gas pipelines, coal export ports, NPDES, dredge and fill
- Broad interpretation
- States are primary responsible party
- Requires state certification of any federal license or permit
- Delegated from Congress
- States get judicial deference

- Commerce clause does not apply here
- Choices
- Certification
- Denial
- Certification with state-imposed conditions
- Waiver of state authority
- Historic Issues
- Timeline Determined by federal agency Usually 1 year
- When does timeline start
- Determination of completeness
- Practice of withdraw and refile case
- DC circuit has called questions into practice Hoopa Valley Case
- FERC may not intervene but Oregon may do so
- Industry wants it preserved as it is their safety value
- Scope
- Nexus to water quality
- Discharge vs. activity Whole activity analysis
- Appropriate requirements of state law
- Issues
- "Act" definition
- Request for certification
- Reasonable amount of time
- Section 401(d) State law is sues USEPA is final authority
- USEPA Actions
- O Handbook 2010
- Accompany with complete application
- Including federal license too
- Scope Broad to water quality and not specifically to a discharge
- 1991 Letter
- FERC Wanted it limited and EPA indicated it is all areas influenced
- Recent issues
- Attacking states
- States do not issue permits within timeframes
- Gas Industry States hijacking permitting process on gas pipelines
- Disservice to cooperative federalism
- Senate letter October 2018
- Select number of states are hijacking process
- Rewrite EPA handbook
- When states receive application
- Recent Actions
- Potential Executive Office
- O USEPA Handbook revision
- O USACOE policy guidance Constrain time line to 60 days immediately upon receipt

- WGA have sent in letters
- Coalition of Associations of State Officials which is increasing in strength
- States left out of conversation and force way in
- Congress and USEPA Opposing changes
- State consultation on changes
- Process improvements
- Early, meaningful and ongoing consultation is appropriate measure
- Federal Tribal consultation is a good example
- Preservation of state authority and cooperative federalism
- Timelines for review and authority
- Increase early coordination and communication
- Scope of state review
- Data and staffing
- Each Federal Department is supposed to have a Federalism Coordinator
- Committee Action on Section 40 1(a) Get a copy from Devin and contact
- O Draft white paper went out to Fish Chiefs in December along with other information
- Divide into background and current status
- No feedback on brief was received
- Looking for information from other states
- Most states are going to comment in some way
- Many trying to coordinate with sister agencies
- Working group with regional emphasis
- OUTSTANDING ACTION ITEM- post final draft of 401 White Paper on SOW page on AFWA website

#### **9:15 AM** AFWA Government Affairs Update

Devin DeMario, AFWA/AII

- Many efforts in past to limit state authority
- Hydropower
- Murkowski still is interested in a comprehensive bill
- A lot on state role, deadlines and data needs
- Likely coming back with Act 47 on lands being past
- FERC bill was put in
- Does not limit timelines
- Resources to FERC to process applications quicker
- Appropriations
- Resolution 31 Level or slightly increased
- USGS Language was changed Interstate Council on Water Policy needs to be coordinated with
- Transboundary rivers
- Gages
- Annual ask on gages has not been made yet and needs follow up
- Toxicology on groundwater PFAS
- USEPA

- Water sense Level
- Clean watersheds survey \$500 K
- BOR
- WRDA
- Passed last session
- Every two years
- Working group interest is being requested
- Requesting our input on our needs
- Direction to USACOE has direction to develop regional research stations
- Watercraft inspection and decontamination stations
- Regulatory Streamlining/WOTUS
- Repeal and replace rule
- Replacement of rule has been sent to us
- Hard to deal with at AFW A
- Broad range of views on federal authority on water regulation
- Conduit of information on issue and process
- Few comments submitted Fish and wildlife is important noting the provisions in CWA
- Sufficient amounts of clean water for fish and wildlife is the need and goal
- Definition needs stated for states
- Rule in front of us
- How is our state working on this rule Double check
- Call for each state to develop their own datasets
- Some work has been done to examine how the affects across the nation ELI has information
- Immediate implementation is a big issue
- 23 states are under the old rule
- Federalism effect needs to be commented on it
- TX AFW A going to comment response
- What does it mean to waters taken away with this rule
- Comment period is too short and WGA and AFWA are requesting more time
- Effects need to highlighted
- Types of data needed should be indicated
- AFWA Letter is sues
- Clean and sufficient amounts of water for fish and wildlife
- Data and time needs for meaningful input and what is jurisdictional or not
- Emphemeral and intermittent waters at risk
- Pilot areas could be a way to develop an adaptive management strategy
- Clear issues with funding for improving NHD+ HiResolution coverage (all 50 states and gaging) for providing long-term gage coverage and better ungaged site estimates by USGS critical to WOTUS assessments and for habitat assessments and conservation actions (covered in past AFWA EPA and USGS letters on same subject matter)
- Implications on WOTUS are large

- USGS has defined waters without ground truthing
- New rule wants an owner to determine jurisdictional status without a consultant and unlikely to happen without data
- Regulatory consistency
- AFS paper can be included in comments
- Issues with coordinating comments with other entities such as DEQs
- KC EPA Meeting
- Range of entities were at the meeting
- Large contingent of environmental groups were at meeting
- Good balance between development and science
- Co-regulators meeting
- KS was in favor of new rule
- If empheral streams are impounded, create an intermittent stream which would be jurisdictional
- Dike and levees stop jurisdiction
- Maybe some variance by region were recorded
- Items to AFWA Devin by the  $22^{nd}$
- Adds a burden to the states as all of these other waters are going their problem and funds will not be there to regulate
- Similar issues with Section 404
- Flyway Councils have sent in letters MS Flyway has for sure
- Infrastructure and Transportation
- Package is wanted to be passed by June in House
- Similar provisions to WRDA
- Make sure that fish and wildlife concerns are incorporated
- Properly sized culverts

## 9:45 AM Discuss SOW Priorities and Operations Jason Olive, AR/Jon Sjöberg, NV

- Teleconferences with committee infrastructure bill, water smart
- Video conferencing will be done Zoom was suggested
- Have them before and after calls
- Fish and Wildlife Health has such calls ahead of meeting
- o Subcommittee Membership
- Ask other committees for their input and participation
- Could be open to anyone but may need Director
- Self selected based on interest to date
- Task list date needs to be changed
- OUTSTANDING ACTION ITEM- Past meeting notes should be posted on the website
- Password protected areas are being developed
- O CHAT, AFS, WaDE, and NFHP Coordination of databases Gary Whelan offered to reach out to identify leads and establish plan to improve coordination

### 9:55 AM Meeting Wrap/Adjourn