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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This study was conducted by Responsive Management and the National Shooting Sports Foundation to assess trends in Americans’ attitudes toward hunting, fishing, sport shooting, and trapping. Responsive Management has tracked public attitudes on the four activities in various forms since 1995, and the study makes use of this trend data by examining how Americans’ attitudes have changed over the years.\(^1\) The project was funded by a Multistate Conservation Grant from the Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies. The study entailed a scientific telephone survey of adult Americans, ages 18 and older. Details of the survey methodology are shown in the body of the report.

Overall, 80% of Americans approve of legal hunting. Approval of hunting is highest in the Midwest (at 86% approval) and is lowest in the Northeast (72%). Americans’ level of approval of hunting has remained generally consistent over the past quarter century, with a gradual increase in approval since 1995 when approval was at 73%.

However, approval of hunting varies considerably depending on the stated reason for hunting. When the reasons are utilitarian in nature—for meat, to protect humans or property, for wildlife management—approval is very high, but hunting drops substantially when the reason is for the sport or for the challenge; meanwhile, less than a third of Americans approve of hunting for a trophy. Nonetheless, approval of these less popular hunting motivations has rebounded following rather sharp declines in 2016 (note that administration of the 2016 survey followed several high-profile news stories that depicted trophy hunting in a negative light).

The species being hunted also affects approval of hunting. Hunting of ungulates and waterfowl is more accepted than hunting of predator species, while the hunting of African lions and elephants has even less approval among Americans. Similarly, approval of hunting depends on the technique being used, especially the extent to which the technique in question allows for fair chase (i.e., whether the animal has a reasonable chance to evade the hunter). For example, more Americans approve of hunting with archery equipment than approve of hunting with high-tech gear or hunting on property that has a high fence around it.

The survey findings suggest that fishing is less controversial than hunting: 93% of Americans approve of legal recreational fishing (compared to 80% who approve of legal hunting). Like hunting, however, approval of fishing depends on the motivation: more Americans approve of fishing for food than fishing for the sport, for the challenge, or for a trophy fish. Meanwhile, most methods of fishing have high approval, with the exceptions of gigging and snagging.

The survey also examined attitudes toward shooting, finding that 81% of Americans approve of legal recreational shooting. This finding is consistent with the approval rates for shooting found in previous survey years, with the exception of a slight dip in approval in 2011.

Trapping is more controversial than the other outdoor recreational activities explored in this study. Public opinion on regulated trapping is split: 52% of Americans approve while 31% disapprove. Again, attitudes appear to be influenced by the stated motivation for the activity: there is relatively high approval of trapping for wildlife restoration, population control, food, and property protection, but less approval of trapping for money, fur clothing, and recreation.

Higher approval of all four activities (hunting, fishing, shooting, and trapping) is correlated with being male, white, living in a rural area, living in the Midwest or Southeast regions, and growing up with firearms. Conversely, lower approval of the activities is associated with being female, Hispanic, black, living in an urban area, living in the Northeast or West regions, and not growing up with firearms.

\(^1\) For the trend results in this report, comparisons of the new data are made only to previous Responsive Management survey questions that used consistent wording.
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INTRODUCTION AND METHODOLOGY

This study was conducted by Responsive Management and the National Shooting Sports Foundation (NSSF) to measure Americans’ attitudes toward hunting, fishing, sport shooting, and trapping. Responsive Management has tracked public attitudes on the four activities in various forms since 1995, and the study makes use of this trend data by examining how Americans’ attitudes have changed over the years. The study also examines how approval of hunting, fishing, and trapping varies by motivation, species, and method. The study entailed a scientific telephone survey of adult Americans, ages 18 and older. Data from the survey were weighted to ensure that the results were representative of adult Americans as a whole.

In the survey, the four activities are referred to as follows: “legal hunting,” “legal recreational fishing,” “legal recreational shooting,” and “regulated trapping.” The qualifying terms before each activity serve to exclude any illegal or improper variations of the activity, as might be the case if a respondent were to interpret the single term “hunting” as being inclusive of poaching.

The project was funded by a Multistate Conservation Grant from the Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies (AFWA). Previous similar trend surveys have been funded by a variety of partners and grants, most often through NSSF, AFWA, and regional state fish and wildlife agency associations. Other sources for the data used in the hunting, fishing, and trapping trend analysis include surveys for the Professional Outdoor Media Association and the Archery Trade Association; additionally, the trend analysis on shooting uses data from a survey by Roper Starch Worldwide. Sample sizes for these surveys range from 800 to 5,103, with sampling error ranging from plus or minus 1.4 to 3.5 percentage points. All telephone surveys administered since 2006 entailed landlines and cell phones called in their proper state and regional proportions.

Specific aspects of the research methodology are discussed below.

USE OF TELEPHONES FOR THE SURVEY

For the survey, telephones were selected as the preferred sampling medium because of the almost universal ownership of telephones among Americans (both landlines and cell phones were called in their exact state and regional proportions). Additionally, telephone surveys, relative to mail or Internet surveys, allow for more scientific sampling and data collection, provide higher quality data, obtain higher response rates, are more timely, and are more cost-effective. Telephone surveys also have better representation of the sample than mail surveys because the latter systematically exclude those who are not literate enough to complete the surveys or who would be intimidated by having to complete a written survey—by an estimate of the U.S. Department of Education’s National Institute of Literacy (2016), up to 43% of the general population read no higher than a “basic level,” suggesting that they would be reticent to complete a written survey. Finally, telephone surveys also have fewer negative effects on the environment than do mail surveys because of reduced use of paper and reduced energy consumption for delivering and returning the questionnaires.

---

2 For the trend results in this report, comparisons of the new data are made only to previous Responsive Management survey questions that used consistent wording.
QUESTIONNAIRE DESIGN
The telephone survey questionnaire was developed cooperatively by Responsive Management and NSSF, based on the research team’s familiarity with similar surveys. In addition, the questionnaire in part included questions from previous surveys to allow for a trend analysis. Responsive Management conducted pre-tests of the questionnaire to ensure proper wording, flow, and logic in the survey.

SURVEY SAMPLE
The sample of adult Americans was obtained from Dynata (formerly known as Survey Sampling International), a firm that specializes in providing scientifically valid samples for survey research. The sample included both landlines and cell phones, which were called in the exact proportions that they exist on a state-by-state and regional basis—the resulting national sample was representative of all Americans living in households with a landline, cell phone, or both. A probability-based selection process ensured that each eligible American had an equal chance of being selected for the survey. This process ensured that the sample was valid because every resident had a known chance of participating in the survey.

Responsive Management obtained a total of 3,014 completed interviews. The sample size is less than 3,014 on some graphs because certain questions were asked only of a random subset of the entire sample. This was done to minimize respondent burden by reducing the overall survey length for each respondent.

TELEPHONE INTERVIEWING FACILITIES
A central polling site at the Responsive Management office allowed for rigorous quality control over the interviews and data collection. Responsive Management maintains its own in-house telephone interviewing facilities. These facilities are staffed by interviewers with experience conducting computer-assisted telephone interviews on the subjects of outdoor recreation and natural resources.

To ensure the integrity of the telephone survey data, Responsive Management has interviewers who have been trained according to the standards established by the Council of American Survey Research Organizations. Methods of instruction included lecture and role-playing. The Survey Center Managers and other professional staff conducted a project briefing with the interviewers prior to the administration of this survey. Interviewers were instructed on type of study, study goals and objectives, handling of survey questions, interview length, termination points and qualifiers for participation, interviewer instructions within the survey questionnaire, reading of the survey questions, skip patterns, and probing and clarifying techniques necessary for specific questions on the survey questionnaire.

INTERVIEWING DATES AND TIMES
Telephone surveying times are Monday through Friday from noon to 9:00 p.m., Saturday from noon to 5:00 p.m., and Sunday from 5:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m., local time. A five-callback design was used to maintain the representativeness of the sample, to avoid bias toward people easy to reach by telephone, and to provide an equal opportunity for all to participate. When a respondent
could not be reached on the first call, subsequent calls were placed on different days of the week and at different times of the day. The survey was conducted in January 2019.

**TELEPHONE SURVEY DATA COLLECTION AND QUALITY CONTROL**

The software used for data collection was Questionnaire Programming Language (QPL). The survey data were entered into the computer as each interview was being conducted, eliminating manual data entry after the completion of the survey and the concomitant data entry errors that may occur with manual data entry. The survey questionnaire was programmed so that QPL branched, coded, and substituted phrases in the survey based on previous responses to ensure the integrity and consistency of the data collection.

The Survey Center Managers and statisticians monitored the data collection, including monitoring of the actual telephone interviews without the interviewers’ knowledge, to evaluate the performance of each interviewer and ensure the integrity of the data. The survey questionnaire itself contains error checkers and computation statements to ensure quality and consistent data. After the surveys were obtained by the interviewers, the Survey Center Managers and/or statisticians checked each completed survey to ensure clarity and completeness.

**DATA ANALYSIS**

The analysis of data was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics as well as proprietary software developed by Responsive Management. The survey data were weighted by age, gender, race/ethnicity, and geographic region, based on the latest population proportions obtained from the U.S. Census Bureau. Note that this weighting resulted in only minimal adjustments to the results (typically 1-2%), as the raw data collected were quite close to actual population proportions. The findings shown in this report are representative of adult Americans as a whole (see the “Demographics” section at the end of the report for a breakdown of the characteristics of adult Americans used for the weighting of survey data).

For all questions in the survey, crosstabulations were run to compare and contrast regional differences. The four geographic regions used for these analyses are shown in the map on the following page.
SAMPLING ERROR

Throughout this report, findings of the telephone survey are reported at a 95% confidence interval. For the entire sample of adult Americans, the sampling error is at most plus or minus 1.76 percentage points. This means that if the survey were conducted 100 times on different samples that were selected in the same way, the findings of 95 out of the 100 surveys would fall within plus or minus 1.76 percentage points of each other. Sampling error was calculated using the formula described below, with a sample size of 3,014 and a population size of 253,227,600 adult Americans.

Sampling Error Equation

\[
B = \left( \frac{N_p(0.25)}{N_s} - 0.25 \right) \left( \frac{N_p}{N_p - 1} \right)^{1.96}
\]

Where:
- \( B \) = maximum sampling error (as decimal)
- \( N_p \) = population size (i.e., total number who could be surveyed)
- \( N_s \) = sample size (i.e., total number of respondents surveyed)


Note: This is a simplified version of the formula that calculates the maximum sampling error using a 50:50 split (the most conservative calculation because a 50:50 split would give maximum variation).
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE PRESENTATION OF RESULTS IN THE REPORT

In examining the results, it is important to be aware that the questionnaire included several types of questions:

- Closed-ended questions have an answer set from which to choose.
- Single or multiple response questions: Some questions allow only a single response, while other questions allow respondents to give more than one response or choose all that apply. Those that allow more than a single response are indicated on the graphs with the label, “Multiple Responses Allowed.”
- Scaled questions: Many closed-ended questions (but not all) are in a scale, such as excellent-good-fair-poor.
- Series questions: Many questions are part of a series, and the results are primarily intended to be examined relative to the other questions in that series (although results of the questions individually can also be valuable). Typically, results of all questions in a series are shown together.

Some graphs show an average, either the mean or median (or both). The mean is simply the sum of all numbers divided by the number of respondents. Because outliers (extremely high or low numbers relative to most of the other responses) may skew the mean, the median may be shown. The median is the number at which half the sample is above and the other half is below. In other words, a median of 150 means that half the sample gave an answer of more than 150 and the other half gave an answer of less than 150.

Most graphs show results rounded to the nearest integer; however, all data are stored in decimal format, and all calculations are performed on unrounded numbers. For this reason, some results may not sum to exactly 100% because of this rounding on the graphs. Additionally, rounding may cause apparent discrepancies of 1 percentage point between the graphs and the reported results of combined responses (e.g., when “strongly approve” and “moderately approval” are summed to determine the total percentage of approval).
DEMOGRAPHIC CORRELATION GRAPHS AND HOW TO INTERPRET THEM

Special graphs that show many demographic variables as they relate to a single question are included in this report. As shown in the example below, the graph shows the overall results regarding the percentage who approve of hunting in the striped bar, as well as the percentage of specific demographic groups that approve of hunting in the solid bars. All groups above the striped bar have a higher percentage approving of hunting—in other words, these groups have a higher likelihood of approving of hunting. On the other hand, all groups below the striped bar have a lower percentage approving of hunting.

Percent of each of the following groups who approve of legal hunting:

- Hunter: 98.8%
- Shooter: 96.8%
- Angler: 90.3%
- Resides in rural area: 89.3%
- Grew up with family who owned firearms: 88.3%
- White or Caucasian: 86.5%
- Male: 86.4%
- Resides in Midwest Region: 86.1%
- Resides in small city or town: 83.4%
- 55 years old or older: 82.7%
- Wildlife viewer: 82.6%
- 35-54 years old: 81.8%
- Resides in Southeast Region: 81.6%
- Total: 79.6%
- Resides in West Region: 77.2%
- Non-hunter: 76.9%
- 18-34 years old: 75.7%
- Non-wildlife viewer: 74.3%
- Non-angler: 74.2%
- Resides in large city or suburb: 73.8%
- Non-shooter: 73.7%
- Female: 73.2%
- Resides in Northeast Region: 72.5%
- Did not grow up with family who owned firearms: 65.6%
- Black or African-American: 64.6%
- Hispanic or Latino: 61.5%

This graph looks at approval of legal hunting. The striped bar shows that 79.6% of adult Americans approve of hunting.

Rural residents are more likely than the general population overall to approve of hunting, with 89.8% who do so.

Conversely, those groups below the striped bar are less likely to approve of hunting than are residents overall.

For instance, Hispanic residents (61.5%) are less likely to approve of hunting than are residents overall.
ATTITUDES TOWARD HUNTING IN THE UNITED STATES

ATTITUDES TOWARD HUNTING IN GENERAL

- Overall, 4 out of 5 Americans approve of hunting when the activity is asked about in a general sense. (Note that the survey asked about legal hunting so that poaching or other illegal activities would not be considered in the responses.)
  - Differences in approval rates can be observed between the geographic regions, as well. Regional crosstabulations show that approval of hunting is highest in the Midwest (66% of residents strongly approve and 20% moderately approve, for an overall approval of 86%) and lowest in the Northeast (72% overall approval).
  - Americans’ level of approval for hunting has remained generally consistent over the past quarter century, with a gradual uptick since 1995 when approval was at 73% (in fact, the current approval level of 80% is the highest yet among the study years).
  - Sport shooters and anglers are more likely to approve of hunting than the average American. Other groups associated with higher levels of approval of hunting are those who live in rural areas, those who grew up in a family that owned firearms, white residents, and male residents. On the other hand, groups associated with lower levels of approval of hunting include Hispanic residents, those who did not grow up in a family that owned firearms, black residents, Northeast region residents, and female residents. (Note that the demographic correlation graphs of those who approve and disapprove of hunting are largely the inverse of each other; however, they are not quite mirror images due to neutral and “don’t know/refused” responses.)

- The survey also asked respondents, regardless of their personal opinion of hunting, if they agree or disagree that it is acceptable for other people to hunt provided they do so legally and in accordance with hunting laws and regulations. Most Americans (92%) agree that it is acceptable, compared to only 6% who disagree. Given that the initial question showed that 13% of Americans disapprove of hunting, this follow-up question suggests that just over half of those who disapprove nonetheless feel that others should have the right to hunt.
  - There was little variation between the regions on overall agreement, although strong agreement was highest in the Midwest and Southeast regions.
  - There was a slight decrease in overall agreement compared to 2011.
Q106. Do you approve or disapprove of legal hunting?

- **Strongly approve**: 55%
- **Moderately approve**: 28%
- **Neither approve nor disapprove**: 7%
- **Moderately disapprove**: 4%
- **Strongly disapprove**: 1%
- **Don't know**: 0%

---

Q106. Do you approve or disapprove of legal hunting?

- **Overall approval**
  - 1995: 73
  - 2003: 75
  - 2006: 78
  - 2011: 79
  - 2013: 79
  - 2015: 80

- **Overall disapproval**
  - 1995: 22
  - 2003: 17
  - 2006: 16
  - 2011: 20
  - 2013: 12
  - 2015: 13
  - 2016: 14
  - 2019: 13
Percent of each of the following groups who approve of legal hunting:

- Hunter: 98.8%
- Shooter: 96.8%
- Angler: 90.3%
- Resides in rural area: 89.8%
- Grew up with family who owned firearms: 88.3%
- White or Caucasian: 86.5%
- Male: 86.4%
- Resides in Midwest Region: 86.1%
- Resides in small city or town: 83.4%
- 55 years old or older: 82.7%
- Wildlife viewer: 82.6%
- 35-54 years old: 81.8%
- Resides in Southeast Region: 81.6%
- Total: 79.6%
- Resides in West Region: 77.2%
- Non-hunter: 76.9%
- 18-34 years old: 75.7%
- Non-wildlife viewer: 74.3%
- Non-angler: 74.2%
- Resides in large city or suburb: 73.8%
- Non-shooter: 73.7%
- Female: 73.2%
- Resides in Northeast Region: 72.5%
- Did not grow up with family who owned firearms: 65.6%
- Black or African-American: 64.6%
- Hispanic or Latino: 61.5%
Percent of each of the following groups who disapprove of legal hunting:

- Hispanic or Latino: 25.8%
- Did not grow up with family who owned firearms: 22.9%
- Black or African-American: 21.0%
- Resides in Northeast Region: 19.5%
- Female: 17.0%
- Non-shooter: 16.2%
- Non-angler: 16.2%
- Resides in large city or suburb: 15.8%
- Non-wildlife viewer: 15.8%
- Non-hunter: 14.2%
- 18-34 years old: 13.2%
- Resides in West Region: 13.0%
- 35-54 years old: 12.7%
- Total: 12.5%
- Resides in Southeast Region: 11.5%
- 55 years old or older: 11.0%
- Wildlife viewer: 10.8%
- Resides in small city or town: 10.1%
- White or Caucasian: 7.9%
- Male: 7.8%
- Resides in rural area: 7.2%
- Resides in Midwest Region: 6.9%
- Grew up with family who owned firearms: 6.1%
- Angler: 4.9%
- Shooter: 1.7%
- Hunter: 0.4%
Q109. No matter your opinion on hunting, do you agree or disagree that it is OK for other people to hunt if they do so legally and in accordance with hunting laws and regulations?

![Graph showing the distribution of responses to Q109 for different regions.]

**Northeast (n=582)**
- Strongly agree: 87%
- Somewhat agree: 19%
- Neither agree nor disagree: 1%
- Somewhat disagree: 2%
- Strongly disagree: 7%
- Don't know: 1%

**Southeast (n=1091)**
- Strongly agree: 79%
- Somewhat agree: 14%
- Neither agree nor disagree: 4%
- Somewhat disagree: 2%
- Strongly disagree: 6%
- Don't know: 1%

**Midwest (n=638)**
- Strongly agree: 79%
- Somewhat agree: 14%
- Neither agree nor disagree: 4%
- Somewhat disagree: 2%
- Strongly disagree: 6%
- Don't know: 1%

**West (n=703)**
- Strongly agree: 87%
- Somewhat agree: 19%
- Neither agree nor disagree: 1%
- Somewhat disagree: 2%
- Strongly disagree: 7%
- Don't know: 1%

*Apparent discrepancy is due to rounding of numbers on graph; calculation is made on unrounded numbers.*
MOTIVATIONS FOR HUNTING

- Approval of hunting varies considerably depending on the stated reasons for hunting. When the reasons are utilitarian in nature—for meat, to protect humans or property, for wildlife management—approval is very high. In addition, a strong majority of Americans are supportive of hunting to obtain organic meat or locally sourced food. On the other hand, approval of hunting drops substantially when the reasons are for the sport, the challenge, or a trophy. The motivations for hunting asked about in the survey and the percentages who approve of each are listed below, in descending order of strong approval:
  - To protect humans from harm (85% approve; 63% strongly approve)
  - For the meat (84% approve; 61% strongly approve)
  - For wildlife management (82% approve; 58% strongly approve)
  - To get locally sourced food (83% approve; 56% strongly approve)
  - To get organic meat (77% approve; 50% strongly approve)
  - To protect property (74% approve; 48% strongly approve)
  - For the sport (50% approve; 26% strongly approve)
  - For the challenge (41% approve; 19% strongly approve)
  - For a trophy (29% approve; 9% strongly approve)

- The nationwide results for this series of motivations are shown together on one graph for comparison. This is followed by graphs showing the series results within each region, as well as the regional crosstabulation for each motivation individually.

- The trend graph shows that the percentages who approve of hunting for the sport, for the challenge, and for a trophy have returned to 2006 levels after showing sharp declines in 2016.

- The preceding series showed a high level of approval of hunting for the meat. The survey also asked respondents if they had eaten wild-caught game meat, such as venison or deer, wild turkey, boar, buffalo, or duck in the 12 months prior to the survey; less than half of Americans (43%) had done so.
  - Residents of the Midwest are the most likely to have done so: at 55%, the Midwest is the only region in which a majority of its residents have eaten game meat.
Percent that [approve / disapprove] of hunting for each of the following reasons:

- To protect humans from harm: 63% strongly approve, 22% moderately approve, 3% neither approve nor disapprove, 5% moderately disapprove, 6% strongly disapprove, 5% don't know.
- For the meat: 61% strongly approve, 23% moderately approve, 3% neither approve nor disapprove, 4% moderately disapprove, 8% strongly disapprove, 3% don't know.
- For wildlife management: 58% strongly approve, 25% moderately approve, 3% neither approve nor disapprove, 6% moderately disapprove, 9% strongly disapprove, 5% don't know.
- To get locally sourced food: 56% strongly approve, 26% moderately approve, 3% neither approve nor disapprove, 4% moderately disapprove, 8% strongly disapprove, 5% don't know.
- To get organic meat: 50% strongly approve, 27% moderately approve, 5% neither approve nor disapprove, 5% moderately disapprove, 11% strongly disapprove, 2% don't know.
- To protect property: 48% strongly approve, 26% moderately approve, 5% neither approve nor disapprove, 9% moderately disapprove, 11% strongly disapprove, 1% don't know.
- For the sport: 26% strongly approve, 25% moderately approve, 5% neither approve nor disapprove, 11% moderately disapprove, 34% strongly disapprove, 5% don't know.
- For the challenge: 19% strongly approve, 22% moderately approve, 5% neither approve nor disapprove, 14% moderately disapprove, 39% strongly disapprove, 5% don't know.
- For a trophy: 9% strongly approve, 20% moderately approve, 4% neither approve nor disapprove, 15% moderately disapprove, 51% strongly disapprove, 2% don't know.
Percent that strongly approve or moderately approve of hunting for each of the following reasons:

- To protect humans from harm: 85%
- For the meat: 84%
- To get locally sourced food: 83%
- For wildlife management: 82%
- To get organic meat: 77%
- To protect property: 74%
- For the sport: 50%
- For the challenge: 41%
- For a trophy: 29%
Percent that strongly or moderately approve of hunting for each of the following reasons: (Northeast Region)

- To protect humans from harm: 83%
- To get locally sourced food: 82%
- For the meat: 81%
- For wildlife management: 79%
- To get organic meat: 73%
- To protect property: 70%
- For the sport: 50%
- For the challenge: 38%
- For a trophy: 25%
Percent that strongly or moderately approve of hunting for each of the following reasons: (Southeast Region)

- To protect humans from harm: 89%
- For wildlife management: 86%
- For the meat: 85%
- To get locally sourced food: 85%
- To get organic meat: 79%
- To protect property: 79%
- For the sport: 52%
- For the challenge: 42%
- For a trophy: 31%

Southeast (n=361)
Percent that strongly or moderately approve of hunting for each of the following reasons: (Midwest Region)

- For the meat: 89%
- To protect humans from harm: 87%
- For wildlife management: 84%
- To get locally sourced food: 84%
- To get organic meat: 78%
- To protect property: 78%
- For the sport: 57%
- For the challenge: 51%
- For a trophy: 34%
Percent that strongly or moderately approve of hunting for each of the following reasons:
(West Region)

- For the meat: 82%
- To get locally sourced food: 80%
- To protect humans from harm: 77%
- For wildlife management: 77%
- To get organic meat: 75%
- To protect property: 68%
- For the sport: 42%
- For the challenge: 33%
- For a trophy: 24%
### Americans' Attitudes Toward Hunting, Fishing, Sport Shooting, and Trapping

**Q118. For the meat?**
(Do you approve or disapprove of hunting for this reason?)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Northeast (n=196)</th>
<th>Southeast (n=361)</th>
<th>Midwest (n=198)</th>
<th>West (n=220)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly approve</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately approve</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither approve</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disapprove</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Q119. For a trophy?**
(Do you approve or disapprove of hunting for this reason?)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Northeast (n=196)</th>
<th>Southeast (n=361)</th>
<th>Midwest (n=198)</th>
<th>West (n=220)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly approve</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately approve</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither approve</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disapprove</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Q120. To protect humans from harm?**
(Do you approve or disapprove of hunting for this reason?)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Northeast (n=196)</th>
<th>Southeast (n=361)</th>
<th>Midwest (n=198)</th>
<th>West (n=220)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly approve</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately approve</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither approve</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disapprove</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Q121. For the challenge?**
(Do you approve or disapprove of hunting for this reason?)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Northeast (n=196)</th>
<th>Southeast (n=361)</th>
<th>Midwest (n=198)</th>
<th>West (n=220)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly approve</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately approve</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither approve</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disapprove</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q122. To get organic meat? 
(Do you approve or disapprove of hunting for this reason?)

Q123. For wildlife management? 
(Do you approve or disapprove of hunting for this reason?)

Q124. To protect property? 
(Do you approve or disapprove of hunting for this reason?)

Q125. For the sport? 
(Do you approve or disapprove of hunting for this reason?)
Q126. To get locally sourced food? (Do you approve or disapprove of hunting for this reason?)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Northeast (n=196)</th>
<th>Southeast (n=361)</th>
<th>Midwest (n=198)</th>
<th>West (n=220)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly approve</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately approve</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither approve nor disapprove</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately disapprove</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly disapprove</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q118-125. Percent who strongly or moderately approve of hunting for the following motivations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>For the meat</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To protect humans from harm</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To get locally sourced food</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To protect wildlife</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To get organic meat</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To protect property</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For the sport</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For the challenge</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For a trophy</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Motivation not asked about in 2006 survey

(2019 n=3014)
Q111. Not including meat from farm-raised sources, ordered at restaurants, or bought at stores, have you eaten any wild-caught game meat, such as venison or deer, wild turkey, boar, buffalo, or duck, in the past 12 months?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Don't Know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percent (n=3014)

Q111. Not including meat from farm-raised sources, ordered at restaurants, or bought at stores, have you eaten any wild-caught game meat, such as venison or deer, wild turkey, boar, buffalo, or duck, in the past 12 months?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Don't Know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percent (n=3014)
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ATTITUDES TOWARD HUNTING DIFFERENT SPECIES

- Attitudes toward hunting vary according to the species being hunted. This study, consistent with others, shows that hunting of ungulates, such as deer and elk, or waterfowl is more acceptable than is hunting of predators, such as bear, mountain lions, or wolves. Meanwhile, less than a fifth of Americans approve of hunting for exotic species such as African lions and elephants. The species asked about in the survey and the percentages who approve of hunting each are listed below, in descending order of strong approval:
  - Deer (78% approve; 56% strongly approve)
  - Wild turkey (78% approve; 55% strongly approve)
  - Duck (74% approve; 47% strongly approve)
  - Rabbit (72% approve; 47% strongly approve)
  - Squirrel (65% approve; 43% strongly approve)
  - Elk (66% approve; 47% strongly approve)
  - Alligator (61% approve; 36% strongly approve)
  - Mourning dove (44% approve; 24% strongly approve)
  - Black bear (44% approve; 21% strongly approve)
  - Grizzly bear (40% approve; 20% strongly approve)
  - Wolf (39% approve; 20% strongly approve)
  - Mountain lion or cougar (38% approve; 18% strongly approve)
  - African lion (14% approve; 6% strongly approve)
  - African elephant (7% approve; 3% strongly approve)

- The nationwide results for this series of species are shown together on one graph, followed by graphs showing the series results within each region and the regional crosstabulation for each species individually.
- The trend graph shows that approval of hunting black bear and wild turkey has increased since 2016.
- It is interesting to note that there is no species for which hunting approval is as high as it is for hunting in general. This suggests that, for some, it is easier to approve of hunting in general when specific animals are not being considered.
Percent that [approve / disapprove] of hunting each of the following species:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Strongly approve</th>
<th>Moderately approve</th>
<th>Neither approve nor disapprove</th>
<th>Moderately disapprove</th>
<th>Strongly disapprove</th>
<th>Don't know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deer</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild turkey</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duck</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squirrel</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elk</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alligator</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mourning dove</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black bear</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grizzly bear</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wolf</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mountain lion or cougar</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African lion</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African elephant</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Percent that strongly approve or moderately approve of hunting each of the following species:

- Wild turkey: 78%
- Deer: 78%
- Duck: 74%
- Rabbit: 72%
- Elk: 66%
- Squirrel: 65%
- Alligator: 61%
- Mourning dove: 44%
- Black bear: 44%
- Grizzly bear: 40%
- Wolf: 39%
- Mountain lion or cougar: 38%
- African lion: 14%
- African elephant: 7%
Percent that strongly or moderately approve of hunting each of the following species: (Northeast Region)

- Wild turkey: 74%
- Deer: 72%
- Duck: 69%
- Rabbit: 66%
- Elk: 60%
- Alligator: 59%
- Squirrel: 57%
- Black bear: 46%
- Grizzly bear: 42%
- Wolf: 40%
- Mountain lion or cougar: 36%
- Mourning dove: 32%
- African lion: 14%
- African elephant: 5%

Northeast (n=196)
Percent that strongly or moderately approve of hunting each of the following species: (Southeast Region)

- Deer: 82%
- Wild turkey: 82%
- Duck: 76%
- Rabbit: 75%
- Squirrel: 70%
- Alligator: 69%
- Elk: 65%
- Mourning dove: 52%
- Black bear: 44%
- Grizzly bear: 40%
- Mountain lion or cougar: 37%
- Wolf: 37%
- African lion: 17%
- African elephant: 9%
Percent that strongly or moderately approve of hunting each of the following species:
(Midwest Region)

- Deer: 82%
- Wild turkey: 80%
- Duck: 75%
- Rabbit: 74%
- Elk: 71%
- Squirrel: 68%
- Alligator: 63%
- Black bear: 48%
- Wolf: 46%
- Grizzly bear: 44%
- Mountain lion or cougar: 43%
- Mourning dove: 39%
- African lion: 11%
- African elephant: 4%

(Midwest (n=198))
Americans’ Attitudes Toward Hunting, Fishing, Sport Shooting, and Trapping

Percent that strongly or moderately approve of hunting each of the following species:
(West Region)

- Duck: 77%
- Wild turkey: 75%
- Deer: 72%
- Rabbit: 71%
- Elk: 68%
- Squirrel: 62%
- Alligator: 48%
- Mourning dove: 47%
- Black bear: 39%
- Mountain lion or cougar: 37%
- Wolf: 34%
- Grizzly bear: 33%
- African lion: 10%
- African elephant: 7%

West (n=220)
Q129. Deer? (Do you approve or disapprove of hunting for this species?)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Northeast (n=196)</th>
<th>Southeast (n=361)</th>
<th>Midwest (n=198)</th>
<th>West (n=220)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly approve</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately approve</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither approve nor disapprove</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately disapprove</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly disapprove</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percent:
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Q130. Wild turkey? (Do you approve or disapprove of hunting for this species?)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Northeast (n=196)</th>
<th>Southeast (n=361)</th>
<th>Midwest (n=198)</th>
<th>West (n=220)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly approve</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately approve</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither approve nor disapprove</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately disapprove</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly disapprove</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percent:
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Q131. Black bear? (Do you approve or disapprove of hunting for this species?)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Northeast (n=196)</th>
<th>Southeast (n=361)</th>
<th>Midwest (n=198)</th>
<th>West (n=220)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly approve</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately approve</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither approve nor disapprove</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately disapprove</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly disapprove</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percent:
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Q132. Mourning dove? (Do you approve or disapprove of hunting for this species?)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Northeast (n=196)</th>
<th>Southeast (n=361)</th>
<th>Midwest (n=198)</th>
<th>West (n=220)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly approve</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately approve</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither approve nor disapprove</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately disapprove</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly disapprove</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percent:
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Q133. Mountain lion or cougar? (Do you approve or disapprove of hunting for this species?)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Northeast (n=196)</th>
<th>Southeast (n=361)</th>
<th>Midwest (n=198)</th>
<th>West (n=220)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly approve</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately approve</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither approve nor disapprove</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately disapprove</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly disapprove</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q134. Elk? (Do you approve or disapprove of hunting for this species?)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Northeast (n=196)</th>
<th>Southeast (n=361)</th>
<th>Midwest (n=198)</th>
<th>West (n=220)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly approve</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately approve</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither approve nor disapprove</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately disapprove</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly disapprove</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q135. Duck? (Do you approve or disapprove of hunting for this species?)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Northeast (n=196)</th>
<th>Southeast (n=361)</th>
<th>Midwest (n=198)</th>
<th>West (n=220)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly approve</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately approve</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither approve nor disapprove</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately disapprove</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly disapprove</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q136. Grizzly bear? (Do you approve or disapprove of hunting for this species?)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Northeast (n=196)</th>
<th>Southeast (n=361)</th>
<th>Midwest (n=198)</th>
<th>West (n=220)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly approve</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately approve</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither approve nor disapprove</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately disapprove</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly disapprove</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q137. Alligator? (Do you approve or disapprove of hunting for this species?)

Q138. Wolf? (Do you approve or disapprove of hunting for this species?)

Q139. Rabbit? (Do you approve or disapprove of hunting for this species?)

Q140. Squirrel? (Do you approve or disapprove of hunting for this species?)
Q141. African lion? (Do you approve or disapprove of hunting for this species?)

Q142. African elephant? (Do you approve or disapprove of hunting for this species?)

Q129-142. Percent of respondents who strongly approve or moderately approve of hunting for each of the following species:

*Species not asked about in 2006 survey (2019 n=3014)
METHODS OF HUNTING

The methods used to hunt also affect Americans’ attitudes toward the sport. The hunting methods asked about in the survey and the percentages who approve of each are listed below, in descending order of strong approval:

- Hunting with a bow and arrow (80% approve; 60% strongly approve)
- Hunting with dogs (55% approve; 26% strongly approve)
- Hunting using scents that attract game (43% approve; 18% strongly approve)
- Hunting over bait (32% approve; 13% strongly approve)
- Hunting bear during the spring (20% approve; 10% strongly approve)
- Hunting using high-tech gear such as hearing devices or laser tripwires (26% approve; 9% strongly approve)
- Hunting on property that has a high fence around it (21% approve; 8% strongly approve)

  - The nationwide results for this series of hunting methods are shown together on one graph, followed by graphs showing the series results within each region and the regional crosstabulation for each method individually.
  - Although hunting using high-tech gear and on high-fence property remain unpopular methods of hunting, approval for each has notably increased since 2016.
Percent that [approve / disapprove] of hunting using each of the following methods:

- Hunting with a bow and arrow
  - Strongly approve: 60
  - Moderately approve: 20
  - Neither approve nor disapprove: 2
  - Moderately disapprove: 4
  - Strongly disapprove: 12
  - Don't know: 0

- Hunting with dogs
  - Strongly approve: 26
  - Moderately approve: 29
  - Neither approve nor disapprove: 6
  - Moderately disapprove: 11
  - Strongly disapprove: 24
  - Don't know: 0

- Hunting using scents that attract game
  - Strongly approve: 18
  - Moderately approve: 25
  - Neither approve nor disapprove: 7
  - Moderately disapprove: 12
  - Strongly disapprove: 33
  - Don't know: 0

- Hunting over bait
  - Strongly approve: 13
  - Moderately approve: 19
  - Neither approve nor disapprove: 8
  - Moderately disapprove: 14
  - Strongly disapprove: 41
  - Don't know: 0

- Hunting bear during the spring
  - Strongly approve: 10
  - Moderately approve: 10
  - Neither approve nor disapprove: 11
  - Moderately disapprove: 17
  - Strongly disapprove: 43
  - Don't know: 0

- Hunting using high-tech gear such as hearing devices or laser tripwires
  - Strongly approve: 9
  - Moderately approve: 18
  - Neither approve nor disapprove: 6
  - Moderately disapprove: 17
  - Strongly disapprove: 46
  - Don't know: 0

- Hunting on property that has a high fence around it
  - Strongly approve: 8
  - Moderately approve: 13
  - Neither approve nor disapprove: 8
  - Moderately disapprove: 14
  - Strongly disapprove: 52
  - Don't know: 0
Percent that strongly approve or moderately approve of hunting using each of the following methods:

- Hunting with a bow and arrow: 80%
- Hunting with dogs: 55%
- Hunting using scents that attract game: 43%
- Hunting over bait: 32%
- Hunting using high-tech gear such as hearing devices or laser tripwires: 26%
- Hunting on property that has a high fence around it: 21%
- Hunting bear during the spring: 20%

(Percent (n=975))
Percent that strongly or moderately approve of
hunting using each of the following methods:
(Northeast Region)

- Hunting with a bow and arrow: 71%
- Hunting with dogs: 55%
- Hunting using scents that attract game: 40%
- Hunting using high-tech gear such as hearing devices or laser tripwires: 27%
- Hunting over bait: 22%
- Hunting bear during the spring: 20%
- Hunting on property that has a high fence around it: 17%
Percent that strongly or moderately approve of hunting using each of the following methods: (Southeast Region)

- Hunting with a bow and arrow: 81%
- Hunting with dogs: 52%
- Hunting using scents that attract game: 45%
- Hunting over bait: 39%
- Hunting using high-tech gear such as hearing devices or laser tripwires: 27%
- Hunting on property that has a high fence around it: 25%
- Hunting bear during the spring: 21%

(Southeast, n=361)
Percent that strongly or moderately approve of hunting using each of the following methods: (Midwest Region)

- Hunting with a bow and arrow: 87%
- Hunting with dogs: 64%
- Hunting using scents that attract game: 48%
- Hunting over bait: 31%
- Hunting using high-tech gear such as hearing devices or laser tripwires: 30%
- Hunting bear during the spring: 25%
- Hunting on property that has a high fence around it: 21%
Percent that strongly or moderately approve of hunting using each of the following methods: (West Region)

- Hunting with a bow and arrow: 79%
- Hunting with dogs: 51%
- Hunting using scents that attract game: 39%
- Hunting over bait: 31%
- Hunting using high-tech gear such as hearing devices or laser tripwires: 22%
- Hunting on property that has a high fence around it: 18%
- Hunting bear during the spring: 15%

West (n=220)
Q145. Hunting over bait?  
(Do you approve or disapprove of hunting using this method?)

Q146. Hunting on property that has a high-fence around it?  
(Do you approve or disapprove of hunting using this method?)

Q147. Hunting using scents that attract game?  
(Do you approve or disapprove of hunting using this method?)

Q148. Hunting with dogs?  
(Do you approve or disapprove of hunting using this method?)
Q149. Hunting using high-tech gear such as hearing devices or laser tripwires? (Do you approve or disapprove of hunting using this method?)

Q150. Hunting with a bow and arrow? (Do you approve or disapprove of hunting using this method?)

Q151. Hunting bear during the spring? (Do you approve or disapprove of hunting using this method?)
Q145-151. Percent who strongly approve or moderately approve of hunting for each of the following methods:

- Hunting with a bow and arrow
- Hunting with dogs
- Hunting using special scents that attract game
- Hunting over bait
- Hunting using high-tech gear
- Hunting in a high-fence preserve / Hunting on property that has a high fence around it
- Hunting bear during the spring

*Method not asked about in 2007 survey

(2019 n=3014)
ATTITUDES TOWARD FISHING IN THE UNITED STATES

ATTITUDES TOWARD FISHING IN GENERAL

- There is less controversy surrounding fishing, relative to hunting, with the vast majority of Americans approving of fishing. Nationally, 93% of Americans approve of legal recreational fishing, while only 3% disapprove.
- There is little variation between the regions regarding overall approval, although strong approval is highest in the Midwest. The nationwide percentage of approval has remained consistent over the years.
- The demographic correlations graphs show little variation between the groups regarding approval of fishing. Compared to the overall percentage of Americans who approve of fishing, there is slightly less approval among Hispanic residents and those who did not grow up with firearms in the family.
Q107. Do you approve or disapprove of legal recreational fishing?

![Graph showing approval and disapproval rates over time for legal recreational fishing.](image-url)
Percent of each of the following groups who approve of legal recreational fishing:

- Hunter: 98.5%
- Angler: 98.2%
- Shooter: 98.1%
- Grew up with family who owned firearms: 96.7%
- White or Caucasian: 95.9%
- Resides in Midwest Region: 95.8%
- Resides in rural area: 95.1%
- Male 35-54 years old: 95.1%
- 35-54 years old: 94.9%
- Wildlife viewer: 94.7%
- Resides in Southeast Region: 94.4%
- 55 years old or older: 94.3%
- Resides in small city or town: 94.0%
- Total: 93.5%
- Non-hunter: 92.7%
- Resides in large city or suburb: 92.5%
- Resides in West Region: 91.9%
- Female 35-54 years old: 91.9%
- Female: 91.9%
- Non-shooter: 91.9%
- 18-34 years old: 91.5%
- Non-wildlife viewer: 91.4%
- Resides in Northeast Region: 91.3%
- Non-angler: 91.2%
- Black or African-American: 91.1%
- Did not grow up with family who owned firearms: 88.3%
- Hispanic or Latino: 87.7%
Percent of each of the following groups who disapprove of legal recreational fishing:

- Hispanic or Latino: 6.5%
- Did not grow up with family who owned firearms: 5.3%
- Resides in Northeast Region: 4.6%
- 18-34 years old: 4.0%
- Non-angler: 3.9%
- Black or African-American: 3.6%
- Female: 3.6%
- Non-wildlife viewer: 3.4%
- Non-shooter: 3.4%
- Resides in large city or suburb: 3.2%
- Non-hunter: 3.1%
- Resides in West Region: 2.9%
- Total: 2.8%
- Resides in small city or town: 2.7%
- Wildlife viewer: 2.5%
- 55 years old or older: 2.5%
- 35-54 years old: 2.3%
- Resides in Midwest Region: 2.3%
- Resides in rural area: 2.2%
- Resides in Southeast Region: 2.1%
- Male: 2.0%
- White or Caucasian: 1.7%
- Grew up with family who owned firearms: 1.4%
- Shooter: 1.2%
- Hunter: 1.1%
- Angler: 0.6%
MOTIVATIONS FOR FISHING

- Approval of fishing can vary depending on the motivations for fishing. Fishing for food is considered much more acceptable than fishing for the sport, for the challenge, or for a trophy fish. These results largely mirror those of the hunting portion of the survey, indicating that Americans approve of fishing for utilitarian purposes much more than they approve of fishing for sport. The motivations for fishing asked about in the survey and the percentages who approve of each are listed below, in descending order of strong approval:
  - For fish to eat (96% approve; 84% strongly approve)
  - To supplement income (82% approve; 55% strongly approve)
  - For the challenge (70% approve; 43% strongly approve)
  - For the sport (73% approve; 43% strongly approve)
  - For a trophy fish (53% approve; 26% strongly approve)
    o The nationwide results for this series of motivations are shown together on one graph, followed by graphs showing the series results within each region and the regional crosstabulation for each motivation individually.
    o For most motivations, strong approval is highest in the Midwest and strong disapproval is highest in the Northeast.
    o Approval of fishing to supplement income has increased substantially, going from 65% in 2006 to 82% in 2019. The percentages of Americans who approve of fishing for the challenge and for a trophy fish have also notably increased.
Percent that [approve / disapprove] of fishing for each of the following reasons:

- For fish to eat: 84% approve, 12% disapprove
- To supplement income: 55% approve, 27% moderately approve, 5% neither approve nor disapprove, 5% moderately disapprove, 6% strongly disapprove
- For the challenge: 43% approve, 26% moderately approve, 9% neither approve nor disapprove, 7% moderately disapprove, 13% strongly disapprove
- For the sport: 43% approve, 30% moderately approve, 7% neither approve nor disapprove, 7% moderately disapprove, 12% strongly disapprove
- For a trophy fish: 26% approve, 27% moderately approve, 9% neither approve nor disapprove, 13% moderately disapprove, 24% strongly disapprove
Percent that strongly approve or moderately approve of fishing for each of the following reasons:

- For fish to eat: 96%
- To supplement income: 82%
- For the sport: 73%
- For the challenge: 70%
- For a trophy fish: 53%

Percent (n=1041)
Percent that strongly or moderately approve of fishing for each of the following reasons: (Northeast Region)

- For fish to eat: 97%
- To supplement income: 81%
- For the challenge: 66%
- For the sport: 65%
- For a trophy fish: 47%

Northeast (n=197)
Percent that strongly or moderately approve of fishing for each of the following reasons:

(Southeast Region)

- For fish to eat: 96%
- To supplement income: 83%
- For the sport: 75%
- For the challenge: 71%
- For a trophy fish: 58%

Southeast (n=380)
Percent that strongly or moderately approve of fishing for each of the following reasons: (Midwest Region)

- For fish to eat: 97%
- To supplement income: 82%
- For the sport: 78%
- For the challenge: 77%
- For a trophy fish: 61%

Midwest (n=224)
Percent that strongly or moderately approve of fishing for each of the following reasons:

(West Region)

- For fish to eat: 95%
- To supplement income: 80%
- For the sport: 71%
- For the challenge: 64%
- For a trophy fish: 42%
Q155. For fish to eat?  
(Do you approve or disapprove of fishing for this reason?)

Northeast (n=197)  
Southeast (n=380)  
Midwest (n=224)  
West (n=240)

- Strongly approve: 84, 82, 90, 81
- Moderately approve: 12, 14, 2, 2
- Neither approve nor disapprove: 12, 14, 0, 0
- Moderately disapprove: 0, 0, 2, 2
- Strongly disapprove: 0, 0, 2, 2
- Don't know: 0, 0, 0, 0

Q156. For the sport?  
(Do you approve or disapprove of fishing for this reason?)

Northeast (n=197)  
Southeast (n=380)  
Midwest (n=224)  
West (n=240)

- Strongly approve: 46, 38, 30, 30
- Moderately approve: 35, 30, 30, 33
- Neither approve nor disapprove: 30, 30, 30, 30
- Moderately disapprove: 26, 27, 29, 29
- Strongly disapprove: 6, 7, 7, 7
- Don't know: 4, 7, 9, 9

Q157. To supplement income?  
(Do you approve or disapprove of fishing for this reason?)

Northeast (n=197)  
Southeast (n=380)  
Midwest (n=224)  
West (n=240)

- Strongly approve: 41, 41, 42, 49
- Moderately approve: 25, 27, 29, 30
- Neither approve nor disapprove: 7, 7, 7, 9
- Moderately disapprove: 7, 5, 5, 6
- Strongly disapprove: 19, 13, 11, 11
- Don't know: 1, 1, 1, 0

Q158. For the challenge?  
(Do you approve or disapprove of fishing for this reason?)

Northeast (n=197)  
Southeast (n=380)  
Midwest (n=224)  
West (n=240)

- Strongly approve: 53, 53, 53, 53
- Moderately approve: 41, 41, 41, 41
- Neither approve nor disapprove: 4, 4, 4, 4
- Moderately disapprove: 3, 3, 3, 3
- Strongly disapprove: 2, 2, 2, 2
- Don't know: 1, 1, 1, 1
Q159. For a trophy fish? (Do you approve or disapprove of fishing for this reason?)

Q155-159. Percent who strongly or moderately approve of fishing for the following motivations:

- For fish to eat: 96% (2006), 96% (2019)
- For the sport: 75% (2006), 73% (2019)
- To supplement income: 65% (2006), 64% (2019)
- For the challenge: 82% (2006), 70% (2019)
- For a trophy fish: 48% (2006), 53% (2019)

(2019 n=3014)
METHODS OF FISHING

As with hunting, the methods used to fish can influence Americans’ attitudes toward the sport. Approval is high for the most common fishing methods (catch-and-release fishing, fishing with bait, fly-fishing, and fishing with lures). Crabbing has slightly lower approval, and then there is a sharp decline in approval for gigging and snagging. The fishing methods asked about in the survey and the percentages who approve of each are listed below, in descending order of strong approval:

- Catch-and-release fishing (89% approve; 73% strongly approve)
- Fishing with bait (91% approve; 68% strongly approve)
- Fly-fishing (84% approve; 63% strongly approve)
- Fishing with lures (86% approve; 63% strongly approve)
- Crabbing (79% approve; 54% strongly approve)
- Gigging (57% approve; 32% strongly approve)
- Snagging (43% approve; 21% strongly approve)

- The nationwide results for this series of fishing methods are shown together on one graph, followed by graphs showing the series results within each region and the regional crosstabulation for each method individually.
- Strong approval is highest in the Midwest for all methods except crabbing, which has higher approval in the Northeast and Southeast.
- Although the least popular methods of fishing are gigging and snagging, approval of each has increased substantially since 2006.
Percent who [approve / disapprove] of fishing using each of the following methods:

- Catch-and-release fishing: 73% strongly approve, 16% moderately approve, 3% neither approve nor disapprove, 3% moderately disapprove, 4% strongly disapprove, 3% don't know.
- Fishing with bait: 68% strongly approve, 23% moderately approve, 3% neither approve nor disapprove, 1% moderately disapprove, 2% strongly disapprove, 1% don't know.
- Fly-fishing: 63% strongly approve, 21% moderately approve, 5% neither approve nor disapprove, 2% moderately disapprove, 1% strongly disapprove, 1% don't know.
- Fishing with lures: 63% strongly approve, 24% moderately approve, 5% neither approve nor disapprove, 3% moderately disapprove, 2% strongly disapprove, 2% don't know.
- Crabbing: 54% strongly approve, 25% moderately approve, 8% neither approve nor disapprove, 2% moderately disapprove, 3% strongly disapprove, 3% don't know.
- Gigging: 32% strongly approve, 26% moderately approve, 10% neither approve nor disapprove, 8% moderately disapprove, 16% strongly disapprove, 2% don't know.
- Snagging: 21% strongly approve, 22% moderately approve, 9% neither approve nor disapprove, 14% moderately disapprove, 25% strongly disapprove, 4% don't know.
Percent who strongly approve or moderately approve of fishing using each of the following methods:

- Fishing with bait: 91%
- Catch-and-release fishing: 89%
- Fishing with lures: 86%
- Fly-fishing: 84%
- Crabbing: 79%
- Gigging: 57%
- Snagging: 43%
Percent who strongly or moderately approve of fishing using each of the following methods: (Northeast Region)

- Fishing with bait: 88%
- Catch-and-release fishing: 84%
- Fishing with lures: 81%
- Crabbing: 77%
- Fly-fishing: 76%
- Gigging: 47%
- Snagging: 35%

Northeast (n=197)
Percent who strongly or moderately approve of fishing using each of the following methods:
(Southeast Region)

- Fishing with bait: 92%
- Catch-and-release fishing: 90%
- Fishing with lures: 88%
- Fly-fishing: 88%
- Crabbing: 84%
- Gigging: 61%
- Snagging: 44%
Percent who strongly or moderately approve of fishing using each of the following methods:
(Midwest Region)

- Fishing with bait: 94%
- Catch-and-release fishing: 94%
- Fishing with lures: 92%
- Fly-fishing: 87%
- Crabbing: 77%
- Gigging: 64%
- Snagging: 51%

(Midwest (n=224))
Percent who strongly or moderately approve of fishing using each of the following methods:
(West Region)

- Fishing with bait: 88%
- Catch-and-release fishing: 86%
- Fly-fishing: 83%
- Fishing with lures: 82%
- Crabbing: 78%
- Gigging: 55%
- Snagging: 40%
Americans’ Attitudes Toward Hunting, Fishing, Sport Shooting, and Trapping

Q166. Crabbing? (Do you approve or disapprove of fishing using this method?)

Q167. Giggling? (Do you approve or disapprove of fishing using this method?)

Q168. Snagging? (Do you approve or disapprove of fishing using this method?)
Q162-168. Percent who strongly or moderately approve of fishing for each of the following methods:

- Fishing with bait: 90% in 2006, 91% in 2019
- Fishing with lures: 86% in 2006, 86% in 2019
- Catch-and-release fishing: 86% in 2006, 89% in 2019
- Fly fishing: 85% in 2006, 84% in 2019
- Crabbing: 79% in 2006, 79% in 2019
- Gigging: 45% in 2006, 58% in 2019
- Snagging: 32% in 2006, 43% in 2019

(2019 n=3014)
ATTITUDES TOWARD SPORT SHOOTING IN THE UNITED STATES

- Overall, 81% of Americans approve of legal recreational shooting, compared to 12% who disapprove.
  - As with hunting and fishing, approval is highest in the Midwest and lowest in the Northeast.
  - This approval rate is consistent with the 2016 survey.
    - Groups most commonly associated with approval of sport shooting are hunters and anglers, those who grew up with a family that owned firearms, those who live in rural areas, white residents, and male residents. At the opposite end, the groups most commonly associated with disapproval of shooting are black residents, those who did not grow up with a family that owned firearms, Northeast region residents, Hispanic residents, and female residents.

- The survey also asked respondents to select a statement that best reflects their opinion of recreational shooting sports. The three statements and the percentages who selected each are as follows:
  - Shooting sports are perfectly acceptable. (65%)
  - Shooting sports are OK, but maybe a little inappropriate now. (23%)
  - Shooting sports are inappropriate nowadays. (9%)
    - Residents of the Midwest and Southeast regions are the most likely to say shooting sports are perfectly acceptable, whereas those in the Northeast are the least likely to say this.
    - These results are consistent with the findings from 2011.
Q108. Do you approve or disapprove of legal recreational shooting?

![Chart showing approval and disapproval percentages for different regions.]

Overall approval for legal recreational shooting has increased from 2006 to 2019:
- 2006: 79%
- 2011: 79%
- 2016: 81%
- 2019: 81%

Overall disapproval has decreased over the years:
- 2006: 14%
- 2011: 19%
- 2016: 13%
- 2019: 12%

The chart also breaks down approval and disapproval into strongly approve, moderately approve, neither approve nor disapprove, moderately disapprove, strongly disapprove, and don't know categories, showing regional variations.
Percent of each of the following groups who approve of legal recreational shooting:

- Shooter: 98.6%
- Hunter: 98.3%
- Angler: 91.2%
- Grew up with family who owned firearms: 89.1%
- Resides in rural area: 88.1%
- White or Caucasian: 87.2%
- Male: 86.8%
- 35-54 years old: 85.0%
- Resides in Midwest Region: 84.7%
- Wildlife viewer: 84.3%
- 18-34 years old: 83.1%
- Resides in Southeast Region: 82.9%
- Resides in small city or town: 82.6%
- Resides in West Region: 82.3%
- Total: 81.1%
- Non-hunter: 78.6%
- Resides in large city or suburb: 78.0%
- 55 years old or older: 77.8%
- Non-angler: 76.2%
- Female: 75.8%
- Hispanic or Latino: 75.5%
- Non-wildlife viewer: 75.3%
- Non-shooter: 75.1%
- Resides in Northeast Region: 73.0%
- Did not grow up with family who owned firearms: 68.4%
- Black or African-American: 61.6%
Percent of each of the following groups who disapprove of legal recreational shooting:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Black or African-American</td>
<td>25.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did not grow up with family who owned firearms</td>
<td>21.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resides in Northeast Region</td>
<td>19.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic or Latino</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-shooter</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>15.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-angler</td>
<td>15.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 years old or older</td>
<td>14.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-wildlife viewer</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resides in large city or suburb</td>
<td>14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-hunter</td>
<td>13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resides in small city or town</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildlife viewer</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resides in Southeast Region</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-34 years old</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-54 years old</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resides in West Region</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resides in Midwest Region</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White or Caucasian</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resides in rural area</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grew up with family who owned firearms</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angler</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shooter</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q110. Which of the following statements best describes your opinion of recreational shooting sports?

(2019 n=3014)
ATTITUDES TOWARD TRAPPING IN THE UNITED STATES

Trapping is more controversial than the other outdoor recreation activities discussed in this study. A slight majority of Americans (52%) approve of regulated trapping, while 31% disapprove.

- Approval is higher in the Midwest and Southeast regions, and disapproval is higher in the Northeast and West regions.
- Since 2006, overall approval has remained consistent but strong disapproval has decreased slightly.
  - Those who participate in hunting, sport shooting, and fishing are considerably more likely to approve of trapping than is the average American. Other groups more likely to approve of trapping are those in rural areas, male residents, Midwest region residents, those who grew up with a family that owned firearms, and white residents. Conversely, groups who are more likely to disapprove of trapping are black residents, those who did not grow up with a family that owned firearms, Hispanic residents, female residents, and those who live in the Northeast and West regions.

Opinion is highly influenced by the stated reason for the trapping of wildlife. Approval is highest for trapping if it is for wildlife restoration and population control. Similarly, trapping to provide food or protect property has more approval than trapping for money, fur clothing, or recreation. The motivations asked about in the survey and the percentages who approve of each are listed below, in descending order of strong approval:

- As part of a restoration program (84% approve; 59% strongly approve)
- For subsistence (73% approve; 47% strongly approve)
- To help control wildlife populations (73% approve; 47% strongly approve)
- For food (72% approve; 44% strongly approve)
- To reduce damages to crops and gardens (69% approve; 39% strongly approve)
- To reduce damage to human property (65% approve; 35% strongly approve)
- As part of a biological study (64% approve; 33% strongly approve)
- To make money (35% approve; 16% strongly approve)
- For fur clothing (30% approve; 13% strongly approve)
- For recreation (28% approve; 10% strongly approve)
  - The nationwide results for this series of motivations are shown together on one graph, followed by graphs showing the series results within each region and the regional crosstabulation for each motivation individually.
  - For most of these motivations, approval of trapping is highest in the Midwest region, although trapping to protect crops or gardens and human property has the most approval in the Southeast. Disapproval is highest in the Northeast region for nearly all trapping motivations.
Q113. In general, do you approve or disapprove of regulated trapping?

(2019 n=3014)
Percent of each of the following groups who approve of regulated trapping:

- Hunter: 83.4%
- Shooter: 74.0%
- Angler: 66.2%
- Resides in rural area: 65.3%
- Male: 62.7%
- Resides in Midwest Region: 62.3%
- Grew up with family who owned firearms: 60.1%
- White or Caucasian: 58.6%
- Resides in small city or town: 56.1%
- Resides in Southeast Region: 55.5%
- 18-34 years old: 54.7%
- Wildlife viewer: 54.5%
- 35-54 years old: 54.1%
- Total: 52.2%
- 55 years old or older: 50.3%
- Non-wildlife viewer: 47.9%
- Non-hunter: 47.7%
- Resides in Northeast Region: 46.1%
- Resides in large city or suburb: 45.5%
- Non-angler: 45.3%
- Non-shooter: 44.7%
- Resides in West Region: 43.4%
- Hispanic or Latino: 42.4%
- Female: 42.1%
- Did not grow up with family who owned firearms: 40.3%
- Black or African-American: 33.2%
Percent of each of the following groups who disapprove of regulated trapping:

- Black or African-American: 46.8%
- Did not grow up with family who owned firearms: 41.1%
- Hispanic or Latino: 40.2%
- Resides in Northeast Region: 38.3%
- Female: 37.8%
- Resides in West Region: 37.4%
- Resides in large city or suburb: 37.3%
- Non-shooter: 37.2%
- Non-angler: 35.9%
- Non-hunter: 34.6%
- 55 years old or older: 33.7%
- Non-wildlife viewer: 32.5%
- Total: 31.4%
- 18-34 years old: 31.1%
- Wildlife viewer: 30.9%
- 35-54 years old: 28.8%
- Resides in small city or town: 28.4%
- Resides in Southeast Region: 27.8%
- White or Caucasian: 26.5%
- Grew up with family who owned firearms: 25.6%
- Male: 24.7%
- Resides in Midwest Region: 24.0%
- Angler: 22.3%
- Resides in rural area: 20.4%
- Shooter: 14.7%
- Hunter: 9.0%
Percent who [approve / disapprove] of trapping for each of the following reasons:

- As part of a restoration program
  - Strongly approve: 59%
  - Moderately approve: 24%
  - Neither approve nor disapprove: 4%
  - Moderately disapprove: 6%
  - Strongly disapprove: 3%
  - Don't know: 0%

- For subsistence
  - Strongly approve: 47%
  - Moderately approve: 26%
  - Neither approve nor disapprove: 7%
  - Moderately disapprove: 7%
  - Strongly disapprove: 10%
  - Don't know: 0%

- To help control wildlife populations
  - Strongly approve: 47%
  - Moderately approve: 26%
  - Neither approve nor disapprove: 6%
  - Moderately disapprove: 7%
  - Strongly disapprove: 13%
  - Don't know: 0%

- For food
  - Strongly approve: 44%
  - Moderately approve: 28%
  - Neither approve nor disapprove: 6%
  - Moderately disapprove: 6%
  - Strongly disapprove: 14%
  - Don't know: 0%

- To reduce damage to crops and gardens
  - Strongly approve: 39%
  - Moderately approve: 30%
  - Neither approve nor disapprove: 7%
  - Moderately disapprove: 9%
  - Strongly disapprove: 12%
  - Don't know: 0%

- To reduce damage to human property
  - Strongly approve: 35%
  - Moderately approve: 30%
  - Neither approve nor disapprove: 7%
  - Moderately disapprove: 10%
  - Strongly disapprove: 15%
  - Don't know: 0%

- As part of a biological study
  - Strongly approve: 33%
  - Moderately approve: 31%
  - Neither approve nor disapprove: 8%
  - Moderately disapprove: 6%
  - Strongly disapprove: 18%
  - Don't know: 0%

- To make money
  - Strongly approve: 16%
  - Moderately approve: 19%
  - Neither approve nor disapprove: 7%
  - Moderately disapprove: 15%
  - Strongly disapprove: 40%
  - Don't know: 0%

- For fur clothing
  - Strongly approve: 13%
  - Moderately approve: 17%
  - Neither approve nor disapprove: 7%
  - Moderately disapprove: 14%
  - Strongly disapprove: 47%
  - Don't know: 0%

- For recreation
  - Strongly approve: 10%
  - Moderately approve: 17%
  - Neither approve nor disapprove: 6%
  - Moderately disapprove: 16%
  - Strongly disapprove: 48%
  - Don't know: 0%

Percent (n=998)
Percent who strongly approve or moderately approve of trapping for each of the following reasons:

- As part of a restoration program: 84%
- For subsistence: 73%
- To help control wildlife populations: 73%
- For food: 72%
- To reduce damage to crops and gardens: 69%
- To reduce damage to human property: 65%
- As part of a biological study: 64%
- To make money: 35%
- For fur clothing: 30%
- For recreation: 28%
Percent who strongly or moderately approve of trapping for each of the following reasons:
(Northeast Region)

- As part of a restoration program: 82%
- To help control wildlife populations: 69%
- For food: 67%
- For subsistence: 66%
- To reduce damage to crops and gardens: 62%
- As part of a biological study: 61%
- To reduce damage to human property: 54%
- For fur clothing: 26%
- To make money: 26%
- For recreation: 21%
Percent who strongly or moderately approve of trapping for each of the following reasons:
(Southeast Region)

- As part of a restoration program: 84%
- To help control wildlife populations: 77%
- To reduce damage to crops and gardens: 75%
- For subsistence: 74%
- To reduce damage to human property: 74%
- For food: 73%
- As part of a biological study: 67%
- To make money: 37%
- For fur clothing: 32%
- For recreation: 30%
Percent who strongly or moderately approve of trapping for each of the following reasons:
(Midwest Region)

- As part of a restoration program: 87%
- For subsistence: 80%
- For food: 77%
- To help control wildlife populations: 75%
- To reduce damage to crops and gardens: 68%
- To reduce damage to human property: 66%
- As part of a biological study: 63%
- To make money: 43%
- For fur clothing: 36%
- For recreation: 31%
Percent who strongly or moderately approve of trapping for each of the following reasons: (West Region)

- As part of a restoration program: 82%
- For food: 72%
- For subsistence: 72%
- To help control wildlife populations: 68%
- To reduce damage to crops and gardens: 66%
- As part of a biological study: 64%
- To reduce damage to human property: 61%
- To make money: 34%
- For recreation: 27%
- For fur clothing: 26%

[Graph showing percentages for each reason with West (n=243) data]
Q171. For food? (Do you approve or disapprove of trapping for this reason?)

Q172. For recreation? (Do you approve or disapprove of trapping for this reason?)

Q173. For subsistence? (Do you approve or disapprove of trapping for this reason?)

Q174. To help control wildlife populations? (Do you approve or disapprove of trapping for this reason?)
Q175. To reduce damage to crops and gardens? (Do you approve or disapprove of trapping for this reason?)

Q176. To reduce damage to human property? (Do you approve or disapprove of trapping for this reason?)

Q177. For fur clothing? (Do you approve or disapprove of trapping for this reason?)

Q178. To make money? (Do you approve or disapprove of trapping for this reason?)
Q179. As part of a biological study? (Do you approve or disapprove of trapping for this reason?)

Q180. As part of a restoration program? (Do you approve or disapprove of trapping for this reason?)
DEMOGRAPHICS

These demographic data were collected for crosstabulations and data analysis. Because survey results were weighted by age, gender, race, and region to reflect the proportions of the population according to the U.S. Census, the results shown here are representative of adult Americans. The data collected include:

- Age.
- Gender.
- Race or ethnicity.
- Type of residential area.
- State of residence.

Note that the survey was limited to adults age 18 or older.
Q199. Respondent's gender (not asked; observed by interviewer).

- Male: 49%
- Female: 51%

Q199. Respondent's gender (not asked; observed by interviewer).

- Male: 48%
- Female: 52%

Northeast (n=582):
- Male: 48%
- Female: 52%

Southeast (n=1091):
- Male: 48%
- Female: 51%

Midwest (n=638):
- Male: 49%
- Female: 51%

West (n=703):
- Male: 49%
- Female: 50%

Q188. What races or ethnic backgrounds do you consider yourself? Please mention all that apply.

- White or Caucasian: 69%
- Hispanic or Latino: 12%
- Black or African-American: 12%
- Native American or Alaskan native or Aleutian: 1%
- East Asian: 1%
- South Asian: 1%
- Middle Eastern: Less than 0.5%
- Other: 3%
- Don't know: 1%
- Refused: 4%

Multiple Responses Allowed

Northeast (n=582):
- White or Caucasian: 69%
- Hispanic or Latino: 12%
- Black or African-American: 12%
- Native American or Alaskan native or Aleutian: 1%
- East Asian: 1%
- South Asian: 1%
- Middle Eastern: Less than 0.5%
- Other: 3%
- Don't know: 1%
- Refused: 4%

Southeast (n=1091):
- White or Caucasian: 69%
- Hispanic or Latino: 21%
- Black or African-American: 4%
- Native American or Alaskan native or Aleutian: 2%
- East Asian: 0%
- South Asian: 1%
- Middle Eastern: Less than 0.5%
- Other: 3%
- Don't know: 3%
- Refused: 4%

Midwest (n=638):
- White or Caucasian: 66%
- Hispanic or Latino: 9%
- Black or African-American: 3%
- Native American or Alaskan native or Aleutian: 1%
- East Asian: 17%
- South Asian: 13%
- Middle Eastern: Less than 0.5%
- Other: 3%
- Don't know: 1%
- Refused: 4%

West (n=703):
- White or Caucasian: 81%
- Hispanic or Latino: 5%
- Black or African-American: 9%
- Native American or Alaskan native or Aleutian: 4%
- East Asian: 24%
- South Asian: 18%
- Middle Eastern: Less than 0.5%
- Other: 3%
- Don't know: 1%
- Refused: 4%
Q190. Do you consider your place of residence to be a large city or urban area, a suburban area, a small city or town, a rural area on a farm or ranch, or a rural area not on a farm or ranch?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residence Type</th>
<th>Percent (n=3014)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Large city or urban area</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suburban area</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small city or town</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural area on a farm or ranch</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural area not on a farm or ranch</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Northeast (n=582)  
Southeast (n=1091)  
Midwest (n=638)  
West (n=703)
Q194. What is your state of residence?
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